

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/469,651 06/06/95 OSBORNE

K 091-P-004

EXAMINER

CSM1/0918

TAYLOR, D

JOHN L ALEX
LOCKWOOD ALEX FITZGIBBON & CUMMINGS
THREE FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA
SUITE 700
CHICAGO IL 60602

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3506

DATE MAILED: 09/18/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final.A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), 0 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133**Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:**

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION1. Claims 248 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.3. Claims _____ are allowed.4. Claims 248 are rejected.5. Claims _____ are objected to.6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.14. Other**EXAMINER'S ACTION**

Serial Number: 08/469,651

-2-

Art Unit: 3506

Part III DETAILED ACTION

The prior art cited in Applicant(s) prior application, Serial No. 07/286,893, has been considered.

DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 30 of copending application Serial No. 07/286,893.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Merely to eliminate limitations from a claim does not constitute patentability.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially

Art Unit: 3506

created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornam*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Taylor whose telephone number is (703) 308-1013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Britts, can be reached on (703) 308-2144. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597 or 305-3598.

Serial Number: 08/469,651

-4-

Art Unit: 3506

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Dennis L. Taylor
DENNIS L. TAYLOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3501

September 15, 1995
(4) 469651.1st